BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



9500 GILMAN DRIVE LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Chih-Fan Rich Pai Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

ECE 228 - ML for Physical Applications (Shi, Yuanyuan) Spring 2025

> Number of Evaluations Submitted: 42 Number of Students Enrolled: 151

1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

23 (54.8%): Strongly Agree

13 (31.0%): Agree

2 (4.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 4 (9.5%): Not Applicable

2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

21 (52.5%): Strongly Agree

12 (30.0%): Agree

1 (2.5%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 6 (15.0%): Not Applicable 2: [No Response]

3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

22 (55.0%): Strongly Agree

13 (32.5%): Agree

1 (2.5%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 4 (10.0%): Not Applicable 2: [No Response] 4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

22 (56.4%): Strongly Agree

10 (25.6%): Agree

3 (7.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 4 (10.3%): Not Applicable 3: [No Response]

5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

23 (57.5%): Strongly Agree

12 (30.0%): Agree

1 (2.5%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 4 (10.0%): Not Applicable 2: [No Response]

6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

22 (55.0%): Strongly Agree

13 (32.5%): Agree

1 (2.5%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 4 (10.0%): Not Applicable 2: [No Response]

- 7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.
- ,
- His feedback was particularly helpful.
- like the pop wuizzes
- Very positive learning environment, encouraged to ask the simplest of questions, and always proactive at answering questions in class and on Canvas!
- Was kind, responsive, and engaging in discussions on canvas.

- 8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.
- •
- Even though I didn't have a background in ML, they helped me understand concepts by drawing inspiration from classical techniques.
- He gave us feedback on our project which I found to be quite useful.
- project is great
- Review sessions on linear algebra were very helpful!
- 9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.
- •
- None. very good course
- Pretty good, just continue what you're doing.
- 10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?
- •
- Attentive and feedback oriented, which are nice qualities.
- Nope
- 11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?

20 (44.4%): Major/Program Requirement

1 (2.2%): Minor

0 (0.0%): College General Education

14 (31.1%): Elective 10 (22.2%): Interest

0 (0.0%): American History and Institutions (AHI) Requirement

0 (0.0%): Undergraduate Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Requirement (DEI)

Requirement

0 (0.0%): Jane Teranes Climate Change Education Requirement (JTCCER)

0 (0.0%): Graduate Program Requirement

0 (0.0%): Other

12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant IN THE SECTION?

9 (25.7%): Frequently 10 (28.6%): Sometimes 7 (20.0%): Seldom 5 (14.3%): Never

4 (11.4%): Not Applicable 7: [No Response]

13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS**

9 (25.7%): Frequently 10 (28.6%): Sometimes 9 (25.7%): Seldom 6 (17.1%): Never

1 (2.9%): Not Applicable 7: [No Response]

14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant VIA EMAIL?

8 (23.5%): Frequently 7 (20.6%): Sometimes 12 (35.3%): Seldom 6 (17.6%): Never

1 (2.9%): Not Applicable 8: [No Response]

15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD**?

8 (22.9%): Frequently 14 (40.0%): Sometimes 7 (20.0%): Seldom 4 (11.4%): Never

2 (5.7%): Not Applicable 7: [No Response]

16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?

13 (37.1%): Frequently 9 (25.7%): Sometimes 6 (17.1%): Seldom Never

4 (11.4%): Not Applicable 7: [No Response]

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. Click here to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.